

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

A PRI LOATION NO	EU DIG DATE	FIRST NAMED DIVENTOR	ATTORNEY POCKET NO	CONFIDMATIONING
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,315	08/19/2003	John Spiridigliozzi	760-100 CIP	3552
	7590 01/22/2008 & RARON LLP		EXAM	INER
HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP 6900 JERICHO TURNPIKE			PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D	
SYOSSET, NY	11/91	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	
				·
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		<i>i</i> – 1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
· .	10/643,315	SPIRIDIGLIOZZI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher D. Prone	3738
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replication will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI atute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Note: The state of the communication of the
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	3 November 2007.	·
	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16,18,34,36 and 3</u>		nsideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15,17,19-33,35 and 37</u> is/are rej	ected.	
7) Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to: See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	•	·
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		eceived in this National Stage
application from the International Bur		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies not re	ceived.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	-

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered and are persuasive.

The previous 112 and art rejection over the claims are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-15, 17, 19-33, 35, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the contacting layer" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "said one surface" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 24 recites the limitation "said one surface" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Art Unit: 3738

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-15, 17, 19-33, 35, and 37 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 and 5-17 of copending Application No. 10/166,842. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the recite all the same structural requirements.

In regards to claims 1-15 and 17, Independent claim 1 recites that the implant comprises a first tubular layer of ePTFE and a second tubular layer of a textile material, these layers correspond to the first and third layers of claim 1 in copending Application No. 10/166,842. This claim is broader than the claim of copending Application No. 10/166,842 but it still contains the same structural limitations.

In regards to claims 19-33, 35, and 37, these claims clearly recite all the same structural limitations as claims 1-3 and 5-17 of copending Application No. 10/166,842.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher D. Prone whose telephone number is (571) 272-6085. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Fri 8:30 to 5:00.

10/643,315

CDP

Art Unit: 3738

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher D Prone Examiner Art Unit 3738

CORRINE MCDERMOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TFCHNOLOGY CENTER 3700